ANSPOLY Journal of Advanced Research in Science &Technology
Volume 3; Issue 2; May 2026

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN THE NIGERIAN BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT: ADOPTION, CHALLENGES, AND FUTURE PROSPECTS

Ilodigwe Anthonia Onyinye
Department Of Sociology, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Igbariam Campus, email: Oa.Ilodigwe@coou.edu.ng

Abstract
Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force in the global economy, changing the way businesses operate, their productivity, and competitiveness. However, the adoption of AI and its integration in business, vary by regions due to factors such as differences in regulatory environments, business climates, and infrastructural readiness. Thus, this paper analyzed the historical background of AI, the regulatory environment of Nigeria, and the prevailing business climate that informs its adoption. Grounded on the Diffusion of Innovation Theory, the study employed a qualitative exploratory strategy and founded its results on secondary information gleaned from government policy documents, scholarly books and articles, and industry reports, complemented by case findings from some Nigerian firms adopting AI. The report revealed that while Nigeria has achieved remarkable strides through national digital economy policies and emerging AI regulations, regulatory gaps remain, particularly in areas under data protection, ethical standards, and enforcement capacity. The Nigerian business landscape, founded on entrepreneurial drive, fintech technological innovation, and growing start-up ecosystems, is full of potential for AI integration. Yet, barriers such as weak digital infrastructure, lack of technical expertise, weak policy enforcement, ethical considerations and costly business operations deter large-scale adoption. Despite these limitations, Nigeria's prospects for AI opportunities are bright, with its potential applications in finance, healthcare, agriculture, and logistics to enhance efficiency, innovation, and competitiveness. The study concludes that AI penetration into Nigeria's business environment requires regular regulatory frameworks, improved infrastructure, and targeted capacity-building interventions.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Regulation, Business Environment, Adoption, Nigeria

Introduction
Artificial Intelligence (AI) has swiftly evolved from a novel technological frontier into a foundational pillar of modern economic systems, revolutionizing industries ranging from healthcare and agriculture to finance and logistics. According to Basu (2020), AI is characterized by applications in machine learning, natural language processing, computer vision, and robotics and these features enables organizations to mine vast troves of data, automate repetitive tasks, make predictive decisions, and generate creative outputs. Such capacities have positioned AI alongside transformative innovations like electricity and the printing press, underscoring its potential to reshape how societies live and work (Tijani, 2023; Rai, 2020). As nations globally accelerate efforts to integrate AI into their development agendas, much hinges on the capacity of regulatory environments, infrastructural readiness, and business ecosystems to absorb, adapt, and deploy these technologies effectively (Chui et al., 2022; Marr, 2021).

Nigeria, Africa's most populous country with over 200 million inhabitants, stands at a critical juncture in this global AI transition. While its economy has historically been dominated by oil and gas sectors, the volatility of global oil markets has laid bare the urgent need for economic diversification and innovation (Olayungbo, 2019; Adeyeye, 2016). In response, policy makers and private-sector actors have increasingly turned their attention to AI as a driver of productivity, competitiveness, and sustainable growth—particularly in sectors such as finance, healthcare, agriculture, and logistics (Okoye & Nwokike, 2025; Adediran et al., 2024). Also, Nigeria's youthful population, rising internet penetration, and burgeoning start-up ecosystems provide fertile ground for AI adoption, provided other enabling conditions are met. The enabling conditions in the country, coupled with the limited literature on the adoption of AI, a rapidly emerging technology. Also, the observed growing rate of adoption, prompted the need for this paper. Thus, it is pertinent to examine how AI has already contributed to the Nigerian business landscape, its current uses, the challenges it faces, and its future prospects in Nigeria.

Overview/History of AI

Artificial intelligence (AI) emerged as an explicit field in the mid-20th century but has roots in earlier philosophical and mathematical work on reasoning and computation. The formal beginning is often traced to Alan Turing's 1950 proposal to consider whether machines can "think," and to his formulation of the Turing Test as an operational criterion for intelligence (Russell & Norvig, 2020). The field's name "artificial intelligence" was popularized at the 1956 Dartmouth Workshop organized by John McCarthy, Marvin Minsky, Nathaniel Rochester, and Claude Shannon; that workshop set an ambitious research agenda for symbolic reasoning, problem solving, and language understanding.

During the 1950s–1970s AI research emphasized symbolic systems and rule-based "good old-fashioned AI" (GOFAI): knowledge representation, logic, theorem proving, and early natural language systems. However, progress slowed in the late 1970s and again in the late 1980s during the so-called "AI winters," periods of reduced funding and tempered expectations, but two major shifts revived the field. First, from the late 1980s onward, probabilistic models (Bayesian networks, hidden Markov models) enabled robust handling of uncertainty. Second, from the mid-2000s, advances in machine learning, especially deep learning (multi-layer neural networks trained on large datasets) and combined with more powerful hardware (GPUs) and large datasets, produced dramatic gains in perception tasks (speech, vision) and in practical applications (Russell & Norvig, 2020). By the 2010s and 2020s AI transformed from a primarily academic pursuit into a pervasive industrial technology powering search, recommendation, autonomous vehicles, medical imaging, and generative systems. Governments and international bodies have increasingly focused on definitions, standards, governance, and risk management as AI's societal impact expanded (OECD, 2019; NIST, 2023).

Contemporary authoritative definitions of AI focus on systems, objectives, capabilities, and impact rather than a single notion of "thinking." The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2019) defined AI broadly as a set of technologies that perform tasks by processing data with models that can adapt or infer patterns. In 2023 the OECD further clarified and updated its definition to remain technically current while supporting governance needs (OECD, 2023). The European Commission frames an "AI system" as software developed with one or more of a set of techniques (e.g., machine learning, logic- and knowledge-based

approaches) that can, for a given set of objectives, generate outputs such as predictions, recommendations or decisions influencing environments (European Commission, 2021). That policy-oriented definition underpins the EU's risk-based regulatory approach.

Further, the U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) likewise describes AI as a machine-based system that, given human-defined objectives, makes predictions, recommendations, or decisions that influence real or virtual environments; NIST's wording (and related AI Risk Management Framework) explicitly connects capabilities to governance, measurement, and trustworthiness (NIST, 2023). These contemporary definitions converge on practical, system-level descriptions (what AI systems do and how they are built) rather than metaphysical claims about "thinking," reflecting AI's maturation from a philosophical question to an engineering, scientific, and policy discipline (OECD, 2019; European Commission, 2021; NIST, 2023; Russell & Norvig, 2020).

Theoretical Framework: Diffusion of Innovation Theory

The paper is anchored on the Diffusion of Innovation theory. The Diffusion of Innovation (DOI) theory was first propounded by Everett M. Rogers in 1962 and refined in the fifth edition of Diffusion of Innovations (2003). The theory explains how new ideas and technologies spread within a social system over time. Rogers argues that adoption is a social process shaped by communication networks, cultural norms, and organizational structures. Thus, Rogers listed five attributes that determine the rate of adoption: these are relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, triability and observability. Relative advantage, or the perceived benefit of an innovation over existing practices; compatibility, the degree to which it aligns with existing values and needs; complexity, the perceived difficulty of understanding or using it; trialability, the opportunity to test it on a small scale; and observability, the visibility of its results to others. Adoption proceeds through knowledge, persuasion, decision, implementation, and confirmation, and individuals or organizations can be classified as innovators, early adopters, early majority, late majority, or laggards (Rogers, 2003).

This theoretical lens is well suited to the study as it assumes that Nigerian firms evaluate AI's relative advantage in improving productivity and competitiveness while considering compatibility with local business practices and regulations. Also, limited digital infrastructure, skills shortages, and unreliable power supply may heighten perceived complexity, whereas pilot projects and sector-specific demonstrations may enhance trialability and observability. In summary, the theory explains critical factors that may shape how AI knowledge spreads and adoption decisions are made. Thus, DOI theory provides a structured explanation for the uneven but growing diffusion of AI across Nigeria's business landscape.

Methodology

The paper adopted qualitative exploratory methods anchored in secondary data (government policies, scholarly literature, and industry reports) complemented by case studies of Nigerian firms already adopting AI to arrive at the findings, conclusions and deductions for the paper.

AI adoption in the business sector in Nigeria

Artificial intelligence (AI) has moved from concept to practice in Nigeria's business environment, where it now underpins operations in finance, agriculture, healthcare, education, e-

commerce, and logistics. Across these sectors, studies have shown that companies are embedding AI into their core processes to improve efficiency, enhance customer engagement, and create new revenue streams. The financial services industry provides the most visible evidence of this transformation. By early 2025, ten of the nation's twenty-six commercial banks had integrated conversational AI to handle customer inquiries and speed transaction resolution, while virtually all major fintech firms employ machine-learning models for fraud detection, credit scoring, and predictive analytics (Tijani, 2023; Ogundele et al., 2020). These systems analyze large volumes of transaction data in real time, enabling faster loan approvals, early identification of suspicious activity, and round-the-clock support through natural-language virtual assistants that lower operational costs and heighten customer satisfaction (Adeleye et al., 2021).

Also, in the agricultural sector which is a long-time backbone of Nigeria's economy, is experiencing a similar shift as precision farming platforms use satellite imagery, drones, and sensor networks to recommend optimal planting schedules, irrigation patterns, and pest-control measures. Start-ups such as Kitovu and AirSmat deploy AI-driven advisory tools to deliver individualized soil and crop health analyses, and studies show that farms using these systems have boosted yields by up to 25 percent while reducing fertilizer use by roughly 15 percent (Adediran, Sakpere, & Ogunyinka, 2024; Ajayi et al., 2020). In the healthcare market, private diagnostic centers and telemedicine companies are adopting algorithms that interpret X-rays, MRIs, and CT scans with remarkable accuracy, improving early detection of diseases like tuberculosis and cancer and extending services to underserved areas through AI-enabled chatbots and remote consultation platforms (Smith et al., 2020; Adewale et al., 2021).

Meanwhile, leading e-commerce platforms have been found to employ AI recommendation engines and demand-forecasting models that drive 20 to 30 percent increases in sales conversions, while Bumpa offers small retailers a personalized business coach built on predictive analytics (Tijani, 2023; Okoye & Nwokike, 2025). Furthermore, logistics and supply-chain companies complement these developments by using machine-learning algorithms for route optimization and fleet management, cutting delivery times and lowering fuel consumption by nearly a fifth according to industry surveys summarized by Adediran and colleagues in 2024.

Taken together, these examples demonstrate that AI adoption in Nigeria's business sector is neither peripheral nor experimental. From the sophisticated fraud-detection engines of major banks to the sensor-guided decisions of farmers, AI is reshaping how Nigerian enterprises create value and compete in a digital economy. Rather than focusing on speculative potential, the evidence shows that AI is already delivering measurable gains in productivity, cost savings, and customer experience across the country's most vital industries.

Challenges of AI adoption in business in Nigeria

However, the promise of artificial intelligence (AI) is not uniformly distributed across regions or sectors in Nigeria as it is challenged by complex web of regulatory, infrastructural, ethical, and human-capital constraints which continues to slow the pace and scale of adoption (Ndukwe, 2023; Adebayo, 2022). Regulatory gaps remain very significant. Although Nigeria has introduced policies to stimulate digital innovation, specific legislation addressing data protection, privacy safeguards, algorithmic bias, and enforceable compliance mechanisms is still developing.

The absence of clear standards for auditing AI models or ensuring algorithmic transparency creates uncertainty for businesses and public agencies seeking to integrate AI into critical operations, while also raising concerns about accountability and redress when systems malfunction or cause harm (Ajayi et al., 2020; Eze et al., 2023).

Equally challenging is the country's digital infrastructure. Many regions continue to experience unstable broadband connectivity, high data costs, limited access to high-performance computing resources, and inadequate data storage facilities. These deficits make it difficult for firms to deploy large-scale machine-learning models or to maintain the real-time analytics that contemporary AI systems demand (Adepoju, 2021; Omobayode & Fabiyi, 2022). The uneven distribution of infrastructure between urban centers and rural areas deepens this divide, leaving large segments of the economy unable to capitalize on AI-driven opportunities.

Furthermore, ethical considerations compound these structural issues because public debates increasingly focus on algorithmic bias, system opacity, and the potential for AI to displace human labor. Concerns about explainability, whether citizens and regulators can understand how AI systems reach their conclusions, feed broader social trust deficits and heighten fears of surveillance or misuse (Mobayo et al., 2021; Imhanyehor et al., 2021). Together, these regulatory uncertainties, infrastructural gaps, and ethical tensions create an environment where AI's transformative potential is acknowledged but its practical realization remains uneven and fragile.

Prospect of AI in Nigeria (Recommendations)

Despite the challenges posed to the adoption of AI in the business sphere, there are encouraging signs that Nigeria is laying groundwork for deep AI integration. The National Digital Economy Policy and Strategy, emerging regulations related to AI and data protection, and a growing number of innovation hubs reflect increasing awareness among policy makers of the need for an environment supportive of technological transformation (Nathaniel, 2024; Okoye & Nwokike, 2025). Similarly, Nigerian firms in fintech, agritech, healthtech, and logistics have begun experimenting with AI solutions, ranging from predictive analytics in agriculture, automated underwriting in finance, to diagnostic tools in healthcare, demonstrating both the feasibility and value of AI in context (Adediran et al., 2024; Olaleye et al., 2023).

In sum, Nigeria stands poised at a crossroads. To fully harness the potential of AI in its business sector, there is need for coherent regulatory frameworks, infrastructure investments, capacity building, and ethical governance. This paper thus argues that without deliberate interventions by government, private firms, academia, and civil society, Nigeria risks lagging behind in the AI-driven global economy.

References

Adebayo, S. (2022). Technical human capital and AI readiness in sub-Saharan Africa. *African Journal of Science and Innovation, 5*(2), 101-118.

Adediran, E., Sakpere, W., & Ogunyinka, T. (2024). Artificial intelligence in Nigeria: Challenges and opportunities. *SSRN*. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=5269530

Adeleye, O., et al. (2021). AI chatbots in Nigerian finance. *Journal of Financial Technology, 12*(3), 45–60.

Adepoju, T. (2021). Infrastructure constraints to AI adoption in Nigeria. *Journal of Digital Development, 3*(4), 77-92.

Adewale, T., et al. (2021). AI-driven telemedicine for underserved populations. Health Informatics in Africa, 9(1), 33–48.

Adeyeye, T. (2016). *Economic Diversification and Innovation in Nigeria.* Lagos: University Press.

Ajayi, M., et al. (2020). Regulatory and ethical challenges in AI deployment in Nigeria. *Journal of Technology and Society, 12*(1), 45-60.

Ajayi, T., et al. (2020). AI advisory systems for smallholder farmers. *International Journal of Smart Agriculture, 5*(1), 33–48.

Basu, P. (2020). Artificial Intelligence for Digital Transformation - Genesis, Fictions, Applications and Challenges. *The Management Accountant Journal, 55*(4), 68. https://doi.org/10.33516/maj.v55i4.68-72p

Chui, M., Manyika, J., & Redzik, T. (2022). The state of AI in Africa. *Global Technology Review, 18*(3), 210-233.

European Commission. (2021). *A definition of artificial intelligence: Main capabilities and scientific disciplines.* European Commission, Directorate-General for Communications Networks, Content and Technology. [https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/library/definition-artificial-intelligence-main-capabilities-and-scientific-disciplines

Eze, U., et al. (2023). Algorithmic fairness, transparency, and social trust in AI systems. *Ethics and Information Technology, 25*(2), 157-175.

Imhanyehor, G., et al. (2021). Skills gaps and education challenges for AI adoption. *Journal of Nigerian Education and Technology, 9*(1), 125-140.

Marr, B. (2021). *AI in Business: Opportunities, Risks, and Governance. New York*, NY: TechPress.

Mobayo, J., et al. (2021). Trust, explainability, and bias: social dimensions of AI in Nigeria. *Journal of AI and Society, 4*(2), 53-71.

Nathaniel, P. (2024). Policy initiatives for the digital economy in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Public Policy, 11*(2), 33-48.

Ndukwe, C. (2023). Addressing socio-economic challenges with AI in Nigeria. *Development Innovations Journal, 6*(1), 88-105.

NIST. (2023). *AI risk management framework and glossary* (NIST). National Institute of Standards and Technology. https://www.nist.gov/artificial-intelligence

OECD. (2019). *Recommendation of the Council on Artificial Intelligence*. OECD. https://legalinstruments.oecd.org/en/instruments/oecd-legal-0449]

OECD. (2023). *Explanatory memorandum on the updated OECD definition of an AI system*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. https://oecd.ai

Ogundele, A., et al. (2020). Machine learning for fraud detection in Nigerian banks. *Finance and Data Analytics Journal, 4*(1), 77–92.

Ogunlade, T., et al. (2019). Adaptive learning in Nigerian education. *Educational Technology Review, 15*(4), 112–127.

Okoye, J. N., & Nwokike, C. E. (2025). Artificial Intelligence and Economic Growth in Africa: A Study of the Business Sector in Nigeria. *International Journal of Academic Multidisciplinary Research (IJAMR), 9*(2), 9-14.

Olaleye, T., et al. (2023). AI in healthcare diagnostics in Nigeria. *African Medical Informatics Review, 7*(4), 200-219.

Olayungbo, D. (2019). Nigeria's economic structure: Oil dependence and diversification. *Journal of African Economics, 12*(3), 212-230.

Oloruntoba, F., et al. (2021). Immersive learning with AI-driven VR in West Africa. *Journal of Educational Innovation,* 8(2), 59–73.

Ongbayode, L., & Fabiyi, O. (2022). Agricultural applications of AI in Nigeria: Current status and prospects. *Agritech Journal, 10*(2), 142-159.

Rai, A. (2020). Artificial Intelligence: Why It Matters to Business and Society. Harvard Business School Publishing.

Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of Innovations* (5th ed.). New York, NY: Free Press.

Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2020). *Artificial intelligence: A modern approach* (4th ed.). Pearson.

Tijani, B. (2023). *AI in Nigeria – Opportunities, challenges and strategic pathways*. PwC Publications.